IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

O. O. C. J.

CONTEMPT PETITION NOS.107 OF 2007
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.2481 OF 2005
WITH
SHOW CAUSE NOTICE NO.349 OF 2007

M/s.Earthrorth Constructions PvtLtd. . ...Petitioner.
Vs.
Tukaram Subrao Patil & Ors. ...Respondents.
....

CONTEMPT PETITION NOS.108 OF 2007
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.2481 OF 2005
WITH
SHOW CAUSE NOTICE NO.354 OF 2007

M/s.Earthrorth Constructions PvtLtd. . ...Petitioner.
Vs.
Smt.Shevanta S.Jawale & Ors. ...Respondents.
....

CONTEMPT PETITION NOS.109 OF 2007
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.2481 OF 2005
WITH
SHOW CAUSE NOTICE NO.353 OF 2007

M/s.Earthrorth Constructions PvtLtd. . ...Petitioner.
Vs.
Smt. Indira K. Babu Yadav & Ors. ...Respondents.
....

CONTEMPT PETITION NOS.110 OF 2007
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.2481 OF 2005
WITH
SHOW CAUSE NOTICE NO.355 OF 2007

M/s.Earthrorth Constructions PvtLtd. . ...Petitioner.
Vs.
Sadashiv Somaji Sarang & Ors. ...Respondents.

....

Mr. P.K. Dhakephalkar, Senior Advocate with Mr. P.C. Kansara i/b. M/s.Pandya & Poonawala for the Petitioner.
Mr. V.M. Singh i/b. N. R. Gandhi for Respondent No.1.
Mr. J.G. Reddy for Respondent Nos.3 and 4.

.....

CORAM :DR.D.Y.CHANDRACHUD, J.
December 3, 2007.

P.C.:

It is an admitted position before the Court that the First Respondent had not signed the undertaking. In these circumstances, Counsel appearing on behalf of the Petitioner states before the Court that the Petitioner has been advised to adopt appropriate proceedings according to law for securing vacant possession of the premises in occupation of the First Respondent in these proceedings. Having regard to this position, it is not necessary to pursue the contempt proceedings as against the First Respondent in these proceedings.

These Contempt Petitions are accordingly disposed of. The Show Cause Notices are discharged.

....